

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/884,586	06/19/2001	Yann Echelard	G0744.70004US01	2580	
• • • •	7590 05/31/2007 RAPEUTICS, INC,		EXAM	INER	
	REENFIELD & SACKS, P	C.	NGUYEN, QUANG		
600 ATLANTI BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1633		
2001014, 1411	02210 2200				
		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Communication Des Arrest	09/884,586	ECHELARD ET AL	CHELARD ET AL.		
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress		
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not accommodate.	ceptable because:				
(a) it was not timely filed.					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was	s not submitted. See 37 CFR	41.20(b)(1).			
(c) the appeal fee received on was r	not timely filed.				
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficie	nt. The appeal fee required by	/ 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$	<u> </u>		
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37	CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no cla	im has been twice reject	ed.		
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was m	ailed by the Office on				
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT accep	table for the reason(s) indicate	ed below:			
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has n	ot been submitted. See 37 CI	FR 41.20(b)(2).			
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insu	ifficient. The brief fee required	d by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)	is \$		
The appeal in this application will be dismissed of brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). E. See 37 CFR 41.37(e).					
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED	because:				
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as reception period for obtaining an extension of time	quired under 37 CFR 41.20(b) to file the brief under 37 CFF	(2) was not timely submit R 1.136(a) has expired.	ited and the		
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the per CFR 1.136(a) has expired.	iod for obtaining an extension	of time to file the brief ur	nder 37		
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (F	RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was	s filed on			
(d) other: <u>Examiner contacted attorney Jania application</u> , and the examiner was informed on 10/23/06.	ce Vatland on 5/24/07 to inqu that no further response was	ire about the status of thi filed since the Notice of A	<u>s</u> Appeal filed		
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this a	pplication:				
(a) is abandoned because there are no allow	wed claims.				
(b) is before the examiner for final disposition on the merits remains CLOSED.					
(c) is before the examiner for consideration.		IG NGUYEN, AM.D. MARY EXAMINER	-		

Application No.

Applicant(s)